

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

NEIL SURESH CHANDRAN,

Defendant.

**4:22CR3077**

**ORDER**

Defendant Chandran has moved to continue the response deadline to the Memorandum and Order (Filing No. 246), because defense counsel Thomas Dahlk has a scheduling conflict. The motion to continue is unopposed. Based on the showing set forth in the motion, the court finds good cause has been shown and the motion should be granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Defendant's motion to continue, (Filing No. 248), is granted.
- 2) Defendant's response brief to the Memorandum and Order shall be filed on or before February 28, 2025.
- 3) The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the motion to continue outweigh the interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial, and the additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, the time between today's date and February 28, 2025 shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, because although counsel have been duly diligent, additional time is needed to adequately prepare this case for trial and failing to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1) & (h)(7). Failing to timely object to this order as provided under this court's local rules will be deemed a waiver of any right to later claim the time should not have been excluded under the Speedy Trial Act.

Dated this 13<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2025.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Jacqueline M. DeLuca  
United States Magistrate Judge